

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	Timothy J. VAN HOOK	Examiner:	Joni HSU
Application No.:	09/625,812	Group Art Unit:	2628
Filing Date:	July 26, 2000	Office Action Date:	March 22, 2006
Docket No.	7046-13	Confirmation No.	8263
Title:	METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INTERLEAVED GRAPHICS PROCESSING		Customer No. 30076

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sirs:

In response to the Office Action of March 22, 2006, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims, which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 7 of this paper.

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Claims 1-18 and 23-33 are pending in the present application.

Claims 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Joffe (U.S. Patent No. 6,330,584).

Claims 1-7, 9-11, 14-17, 23, 24, and 33 are rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joffe (U.S. Patent No. 6,330,584) in view of Krishna (U.S. Patent No. 6,161,173).

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejected claims.